

from the North Edisto River, S.C., are *P. setiferus* (Linnaeus), according to Pérez Farfante (1969). Our paper describes similar polymorphisms in PGM in two more penaeids, *P. brasiliensis* Latreille and *P. aztecus subtilis* Pérez Farfante.

## Methods

Specimens were collected off the coasts of Guyana, Surinam, and French Guiana, South America, on cruise 49 of the *Oregon II*, between lat. 6°13' and 6°29'N and between long. 53°10' and 53°36'W, at 22-29 fathoms, on 9 and 10 February 1974. They were stored at -20°C or below until analyzed. Preparation of abdominal muscle extracts, electropherograms of general protein patterns, and PGM zymograms followed procedures used by Procter et al. (1974). Each specimen was identified to species by morphological characteristics, then their distinctive general protein patterns (Figure 1) were used to confirm this identification. To do so, each gel was sliced horizontally into two halves after electrophoresis was complete. One half was treated with PGM specific stain and the other half was stained with Coomassie Blue.<sup>1</sup> Specimens of *P. aztecus aztecus*

## PHOSPHOGLUCOMUTASE POLYMORPHISM IN TWO PENAID SHRIMPS, *PENAEUS BRASILIENSIS* AND *PENAEUS AZTECUS SUBTILIS*

In a search for subpopulation differences within species of penaeid shrimp in the northern Gulf of Mexico, Procter et al. (1974) and Marvin and Caillouet (1976) reported genetically controlled polymorphism in the enzyme phosphoglucomutase (PGM) in *Penaeus aztecus* (brown shrimp) and *P. setiferus* (white shrimp). The brown shrimp were collected in the northern Gulf of Mexico, so they are *P. aztecus aztecus* Ives, according to Pérez Farfante (1969). The white shrimp, collected both from the northern Gulf and

<sup>1</sup>Reference to trade names does not imply endorsement by the National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.




FIGURE 1. — Electropherogram showing general protein pattern of *Penaeus brasiliensis*, *P. aztecus subtilis*, *P. aztecus aztecus*, and *P. setiferus*. Stain used was Coomassie Blue. Direction (↑) of protein migration toward the anode (+) is shown.

and *P. setiferus* collected in the northern Gulf were included for comparison with *P. aztecus subtilis* and *P. brasiliensis*.

## Results and Discussion

In *P. aztecus subtilis* the zymograms of abdominal muscle extracts exhibited a single region of PGM activity composed of five anodal bands which are labelled a, b, c, d, and e. The same was true for *P. brasiliensis* with the exception that band e was not observed. Bands a, b, c, and d are shown in Figure 2 and bands b, c, and d in Figure 3. Band e, observed in *P. aztecus subtilis*, is shown only diagrammatically (Figure 3). Direct comparison of PGM bands among *P. brasiliensis*, *P. aztecus subtilis*, *P. aztecus aztecus*, and *P. setiferus* suggested that bands b, c, and d are similar in these shrimps (Figure 4). This result is supported

by Marvin and Caillouet (1976) who showed that *P. setiferus* and *P. aztecus aztecus* have the same five PGM bands. These bands are assumed to be under the control of five codominant allelic genes designated PGM<sub>a</sub> through PGM<sub>e</sub> (Proctor et al. 1974; Marvin and Caillouet 1976).

Six phenotypes of PGM were observed in *P. brasiliensis* and eight in *P. aztecus subtilis* (Table 1). PGM phenotypes were enumerated from zymograms to determine numerical distributions of phenotypes, and allele (PGM band) frequencies were derived therefrom (Table 1). Two-banded phenotypes (Figures 2-4) observed in some individuals presumably reflect heterozygous individuals. With PGM phenotypes grouped into three categories, cc, cx, and xx (where x includes bands a, b, d, and e), chi-square tests detected no difference ( $P>0.05$ ) in phenotype distribution between the sexes in either species. With the same




FIGURE 2. — A. Zymogram showing PGM bands a through d (band e not shown) and phenotypes cd, bc, cc, and ac. B. Diagram showing six PGM phenotypes observed in *Penaeus brasiliensis*. Direction (↑) of protein migration toward the anode (+) is shown.





FIGURE 3. — A. Zymogram showing PGM bands b through d (bands a and e not shown) and phenotypes cd, cc and bc. B. Diagram showing eight PGM phenotypes observed in *Penaeus aztecus subtilis*. Direction (↑) of protein migration toward the anode (+) is shown.

TABLE 1. — Distribution (number of specimens) of PGM phenotypes and frequency of PGM alleles in samples of *Penaeus brasiliensis*, *P. aztecus subtilis*, and *P. aztecus aztecus*.

Species	Total length <sup>1</sup> range (mm)	Sex	Phenotypes									Alleles				
			ab	ac	ad	bb	bc	bd	cc	cd	ce	a	b	c	d	e
<i>P. brasiliensis</i>	145-185	Male	0	2	0	1	12	0	172	17	0	0.0049	0.0343	0.9191	0.0417	0.0000
	151-210	Female	0	2	1	0	8	0	161	14	0	0.0081	0.0215	0.9301	0.0403	0.0000
	145-210	Combined	0	4	1	1	20	0	333	31	0	0.0064	0.0282	0.9244	0.0410	0.0000
<i>P. aztecus subtilis</i>	102-152	Male	0	0	2	0	13	2	143	6	0	0.0060	0.0452	0.9187	0.0301	0.0000
	107-175	Female	1	0	0	1	13	0	119	8	2	0.0035	0.0556	0.9062	0.0278	0.0069
	102-175	Combined	1	0	2	1	26	2	262	14	2	0.0048	0.0500	0.9129	0.0290	0.0032
<i>P. aztecus aztecus</i> <sup>2</sup>	60-100	Combined	1	2	0	22	211	5	345	12	2	0.0025	0.2175	0.7642	0.0142	0.0017

<sup>1</sup>Tip of rostrum to tip of telson.

<sup>2</sup>Data adapted from Proctor et al. (1974).






FIGURE 4.—Zymogram comparing PGM bands b through d (bands a and e not shown) in *Penaeus brasiliensis*, *P. aztecus subtilis*, *P. aztecus aztecus*, and *P. setiferus*. Direction ( $\uparrow$ ) of protein migration toward the anode (+) is shown.

phenotype categories, but with data for sexes combined, the phenotype distribution of *P. aztecus subtilis* deviated significantly ( $\chi^2 = 7.086$ ,  $0.025 < P < 0.05$ ) from that expected from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Stern 1943). The reason for this deviation is not known. Johnson et al. (1974) noted a deviation from Hardy-Weinberg expectation for PGM phenotype distribution of a pandalid shrimp *Pandalus hypsinotus* Brandt, in Alaska, and they suggested that it might be related to depth of capture as found in, Pacific ocean perch, *Sebastes alutus* (Johnson et al. 1971).

Our study provided an opportunity to compare the subspecies *P. aztecus subtilis* and *P. aztecus aztecus*, therefore distribution of PGM phenotypes and frequency of PGM alleles for the latter subspecies (data adapted from Proctor et al. 1974) also are shown in Table 1. This comparison is based on the assumption that bands a and e as well as bands b, c, and d are similar in the two species. However, even if this is not the case, the small frequencies of the rare a and e alleles would not appreciably affect the comparison. Both subspecies exhibited eight phenotypes, but not all were the same. Phenotype ad was detected in *P. aztecus subtilis* but not in *P. aztecus aztecus*. Phenotype ac was detected in the latter but not in the former. With phenotypes grouped into categories cc, cx, and xx, and with sexes combined, a chi-square contingency test detected a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) difference in phenotype distribution between the subspecies, and this result

provides an additional characteristic to existing evidence of differences between these subspecies (see Pérez Farfante 1969).

This and previous studies by Proctor et al. (1974) and Marvin and Caillouet (1976) suggest that zymogram analysis may provide a useful tool in the study of population genetics of the Penaeidae. The wide distribution (Mistakidis 1968), commercial importance, and relatively short generation time of the Penaeidae should make them particularly attractive subjects of study by population geneticists.

#### Acknowledgments

Through initial efforts by Raphael R. Proctor, Jr., Gulf Coastal Fisheries Center, National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), Galveston, Tex., this study was made possible. His helpful suggestions were greatly appreciated. We are grateful to Albert C. Jones, Alexander Dragovich, and Donald M. Allen, Southeast Fisheries Center, NMFS, Miami, Fl., for providing specimens for this study. Fred M. Utter, Northwest Fisheries Center, NMFS, Seattle, Wash., reviewed the manuscript. Frank Patella conducted the statistical analyses for the study.

#### Literature Cited

- JOHNSON, A. G., F. M. UTTER, AND H. O. HODGINS.  
1971. Phosphoglucumutase polymorphism in Pacific ocean perch, *Sebastes alutus*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 39B:285-290.

1974. Electrophoretic comparison of five species of pandalid shrimp from the northeastern Pacific Ocean. Fish. Bull., U.S. 72:799-803.
- MARVIN, K. T., AND C. W. CAILLOUET.
1976. Phosphoglucomutase polymorphism in white shrimp, *Penaeus setiferus*. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 53B:127-131.
- MISTAKIDIS, M. N. (editor).
1968. Proceedings of the World Scientific Conference on the Biology and Culture of Shrimps and Prawns. FAO Fish. Rep. 57, 4 vol., 1627 p.
- PÉREZ FARFANTE, I.
1969. Western Atlantic shrimps of the genus *Penaeus*. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Fish. Bull. 67:461-591.
- PROCTOR, R. R., K. T. MARVIN, L. M. LANSFORD, AND R. C. BENTON.
1974. Phosphoglucomutase polymorphism in brown shrimp, *Penaeus aztecus*. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 31:1405-1407.
- STERN, C.
1943. The Hardy-Weinberg law. Science (Wash., D.C.) 97:137-138.

LAWRENCE M. LANSFORD  
CHARLES W. CAILLOUET  
KENNETH T. MARVIN

*Gulf Coastal Fisheries Center Galveston Laboratory*  
*National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA*  
*Galveston, TX 77550*